

DEPT OF COMMERCE
PATENT AND TRADEMARK
OFFICE/ PTO
2121 CRYSTAL DRIVE
ARLINGTON, VA 22202



Fax

From: Steve SARAS
To: Janet Jacobs [Birch, Stewart, KOZ⁰³⁻³⁰⁵⁻⁹⁷²⁰ Sch.]
Fax: 703-205-8050 Pages: 2 page (including this sheet)
Phone: 703-205-8091 Ext. 6051 Dates: 9/26/00
re: CC:

☐ Urgent ☐ For Review ☐ Please Comment ☐ Please Reply ☐ Please Recycle

• Comments:

Serial. No. 08/984,059
Att. Docket. 2743-0104P

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Interview Summary

Application No.
08/984,059

Applicant(s)
Jun Il Song

Examiner
Fritz Alphonse

Group Art Unit
2775



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Steven J. Saras (PTO) (3) _____

(2) Janet Jacobs (4) _____

Date of Interview Sep 26, 2000

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: N/A

Identification of prior art discussed:

N/A

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Ms. Jacobs requested the status of the pending application. After a telephone interview on 6/28/00 with Mr. Hyung Sohn it was agreed that the final rejection mailed 4/26/00 did not teach the claimed invention. It was also agreed that the finality of the Office Action mailed 4/26/00 would be withdrawn and a new office action mailed. Ms. Jacobs inquired as to when a new office action would be mailed. She was informed that the examiners has written the new office action and will be mailed pending review by the examiners supervisor. The new office action will be non-final and the time for reply will be reset from the mail date of the new office action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.